KEMPTON PROJECT

HIGH DUNE WEEK

DAY 5: FRIDAY, JUNE 13 2014

1. SDC: Could validator ignore a zero followed by parentheses?

e.g. –[?]

e.g. Kere & Kethiv

2. Strong’s no. in a footnote Example: Ps 92:10. Currently categorized as \*2—revisor’s notes

**3. -->Add table of abbreviations for refs to the Heavenly Doctrine in next edition**

4. \*0 This is editor’s q not printed but to be addressed before next edition—only occurs x2 Luke 23.56 is one, wrong label?

5. You can assign not only diff vs no. but diff chapter as well, like this: {chapter:verse} Rules will be amended by Roy to show this.

6. Revelation: 17:13, 17 verdict decision tenet mind knowledge

Use purpose? It’s available

determination? what is determined SDC that is different

For now, use purpose instead of verdict both verses.

7. Vocab list H 9007 (sh-) & H 2088 (zeh)--that & this

sh- has been merged with asher—both appear as that without a mark

asher that`1

sh-that`3

8. Ps 35.21 Our eye hath seen: AJH footnote [2 different texts show one plural, one singular] [Stephen was satisfied by his searching that our eye is singular in the Hebrew]

9. Ps. 23:

:4 valley w/ fn to ravine

:5 runneth over: fn 2: SDC disputes soak or drench (since 2006, when it was changed from abound)

rwayah H7310 only occurs x2 in Scripture, does is really mean soak? neither with sense of soak accding to Latin—they look alike but does this mean they are same?

abundo in 7 passages for Ps 23:5

latitudino in AE 355:35 for Psa 66:12

We should use runs\_over with fn literally abound